Internat. J. agric. Sci. Vol.2 No.2 July 2006 : (605-607)

Incidence of insect pests and diseases on nursery plants of Kinnow mandarin under different growing conditions

Jeetender Kumar and H. S. Dhaliwal*

Department of Horticulture, Punjab Agricultural University, LUDHIANA (PUNJAB) INDIA

ABSTRACT

Experiment was conducted during 2002-2003 in the College Orchard, Department of Horticulture, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana (Punjab). Incidence of leaf miner was not observed on the rough lemon seedlings grown under screen house conditions, whereas significantly higher incidence was recorded in open field conditions, both during March and September, growing seasons. Maximum incidence of leaf miner was recorded in medium M_2 (Farm soil + FYM) under open field conditions, irrespective of growing season, while minimum was observed in medium M_1 (Farm soil) and M_5 [Farm Soil + FYM +Sand + Burnt rice husk (1:1:1:1)] during March and September, respectively. No attack of citrus psylla was recorded on the rough lemon seedlings irrespective of growth conditions and growing season. Significantly higher incidence of citrus canker and citrus scab were recorded on the seedlings grown in open field conditions and no incidence was found on the seedlings grown under screen house conditions, irrespective of growing season.

Key words : Kinnow mandarin, Leaf miner, Citrus psylla, Citrus canker and Citrus scab.

INTRODUCTION

Citrus industry is the third largest fruit industry of India after banana and mango covering approximately 0.56 million ha area with an annual production of 4.58 million tonnes (Anon 2003). India ranks sixth amongst the citrus producing countries contributing 4.80 per cent of the world's total citrus production. In Punjab, however, the citrus fruits rank first with respect to area 20411 ha and an annual production of 2.8 lakh tonnes. Among the citrus fruits being grown in Punjab, Kinnow has attained major commercial significance. It alone occupies 17189 ha area and produces 257835 tonnes of fruits annually (Anon 2004).

There are several insect pest and diseases which damage the foliage and reduces the yield and quality of fruits. Some of the insects attack the fruits and render them unfit for marketing. The control of insect pest and diseases, therefore, forms an important orchard operation. A few serious insect pests and diseases attacking citrus in Punjab are citrus leaf miner, citrus psylla, citrus canker and citrus scab. In view of this fact, the experiment was conducted to find out the incidence of insect pests and diseases on nursery plants of Kinnow under screenhouse conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experiment was conducted during 2002-2003 in the College Orchard, Department of Horticulture, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana (Punjab). Rough lemon (*Citrus jambhiri* Lush.) seeds after extraction from fruits of single and healthy tree were sown in well prepared seed beds. When the seedlings attained the height of 15 cm, these were transplanted in black polythene bags (14"x8.5" size, 250 gauge) filled with five different growing media. Then one set of poly bags containing seedlings was placed inside screen house and the other set in open field conditions. The experimental plants after their establishment (15 days after transplanting), were supplied with half strength Hoagland's nutrient solution until the seedlings attain a diameter of 0.4-0.5 cm and thereafter with full strength Hoagland nutrient solution upto the stage when the seedlings attain buddable stage, at fortnightly interval.

On the basis of visual symptoms, Kinnow plants were screened for their reaction to insect pests and diseases following recommended spray schedule. About 100 leaves from each replication were observed during the months of March and September. The disease or insect incidence and index were calculated by using the formula by Mayee and Datar (1986): Number of diseased or damaged leaves

-x100

Disease or pest incidence =------x' Total number of leaves observed

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Leaf miner

There was no incidence of leaf minor on the seedlings grown under screen house conditions, whereas significantly higher incidence was recorded under open field conditions during March (34.42%) and September (36.04%) months (Table 1).

Under open field conditions during March, high incidence of leaf miner was recorded in M_2 (37.25%) followed by M_5 (34.40%), M_3 (34.02%), M_4 (33.82%), while the minimum was recorded in M_1 (33.61%). Similarly during September, the incidence of leaf miner was significantly higher in M_2 (39.21%) and it was followed by M_1 (36.06%), M_3 (35.45%), M_4 (35.05%) and minimum incidence was recorded in M_5 (34.43%). The mean maximum incidence of leaf miner was recorded in M_2 (19.12%) followed by M_1 (17.42%), M_3 (17.37%), M_4 (17.22%) which was significantly higher than in all other growing media. The mean minimum incidence of leaf miner was observed in M_5 (16.96%) which was significantly less than in all other growing media except in M_4 (17.22%).

It is clearly indicated that the attack of leaf miner was significantly less on the seedlings grown in screen house conditions than those grown in open field conditions. It might be due to net of screen house which restricts the entry of pests. The results of present investigations are in accordance with those of Singh (2003) who found significantly less incidence of leaf miner on the rough lemon seedlings grown under screen house conditions than in open field conditions. Wang et al (2000) also reported similar results in citrus.

Citrus psylla

There was no attack of citrus psylla both under screen house and open field conditions irrespective of the growing season (Table 1).

Citrus canker

It is clearly indicated that there was no incidence of citrus canker under screen house conditions whereas significantly higher incidence was recorded under open field conditions (Table 2), during March (17.92%) and September (18.89%).

Under open field conditions during March, the incidence of citrus canker was higher in M_2 (19.35%), followed by M_4 (18.73%), M_3 (18.41%), M_5 (17.78%) while the minimum incidence was recorded

*Author for correspondence